Applicant(s) Application No. BEETHAM ET AL 09/680.858

Interview Summary ,	00,000,000		
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	David H Kruse	1638	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>David H Kruse & Amy Nelson</u> .	(3) <u>Keith Walker</u> .		
(2) <u>John Sanders</u> .	(4) <u>Peter Beetham</u> .		
Date of Interview: 11 June 2003.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representati</mark> ve	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 24 and 28-32.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Fennell et al and Kmied	c '181 US patent and Hawkes	WO patent appli	<u>cation</u> .
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The outstanding issue of and the issues of the prior art as directed to a mutated plan</u>	written description as directed	to all of the per	nding claims
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FINTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requires	last Office action has already FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	been filed, APP SUBSTANCE O	LICANT IS

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required